

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/762,160	Applicant(s) KROLL, MARK W.	
	Examiner Kennedy Schaetzle	Art Unit 3766	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Kennedy Schaetzle.

(3) _____.

 (2) David Sarisky.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 June 2007
Time: 4:30 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

4,5,9,19,20,22,24 and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Proposed amendment to claim 9 in order to better define the invention over the prior art as well as amendments to the remaining claims to obviate minor objections agreed to. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)